#### **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM**EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Castaic E. F.	Southern	6	
Inspected by:		Date:	
Sergeant A. Hernandez, #9862		01/05/10	

Chapter:

Division:

Page 1 of 3

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be number of the inspection in the Chapter shall be routed to and its due date. This improvement, identified deficiencies, co	Inspection of the second of th	on number. Under "Forwa ant shall be utilized to doc	rd to:" enter the nex iment innovative pra	I in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter t level of command where the document actices, suggestions for statewide used if additional space is required.		
TYPE OF INSPECTION		Total hours expended on the		Corrective Action Plan Included		
☐ Division Level ☐ Command I	_evel	inspection: Four (4)				
☐ Executive Office Level				Attachments Included		
Follow-up Required:	low-up Required: Forward to:					
☐ Yes	Due D	Pate:				
Chapter Inspection:						
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	novative Practices:				
None						
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	le Improvement:				
None				······································		
Inspector's Findings:						

Command:

On January 5, 2010, Sergeant Andrew Hernandez, #9862, conducted an inspection of the Castaic Area's grant management and overtime usage. The review was conducted utilizing the guidelines set by Highway Patrol Manual (HPM) 22.1, Chapter 6, HPM 11.1, Chapter 6, HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5 Chapter 2, HPM 10.3 Chapters 24 and 28, and General Order 40.6. The inspection revealed no discrepancies.

The Castaic Area's grant management is overseen by Sergeant A. Hernandez, #9862. Sergeant Hernandez has been the Area's Coordinator for one (1) year.

Officers who work any overtime detail are required to prepare a CHP A415. The A415 is then reviewed by a supervisor. If the overtime is non-reimbursable (ie. shift extension or court), the A415 is signed off by a supervisor and submitted to MIS. If the overtime is reimbursable, the officer submits a printed copy of their A415 along with supporting contract documents to the overtime coordinator, Sergeant A. Hernandez, #9862. Sergeant Hernandez then reviews and approves the documents. Sergeant Hernandez tracks the overtime and ensures a report is prepared and forwarded to Southern Division monthly.

When the Castaic Area receives a summons on a CHP 90 (Civil Deposition), Office Assistant Kellie Esser, #A11224, records the summons in the Area's computer system to facilitate tracking its status. A copy of the CHP 90 is then served to the named officer. After fulfilling the requirements of the

#### **COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT**

Page 2 of 3

Command: Castaic E. F.	Division: Southern	Chapter:		
Inspected by: Sergeant A. Hei	nandez, #9862	Date: 01/05/10		

summons, the officer fills out and submits the CHP 90 along with

approval. The CHP 90 is then forwarded to kelli Esser and its status is updated in the computers.	rvisor er
ommander's Response: 🗵 Concur or 🗌 Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for resp	
ne	onse)
pector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchange	
) commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanger	ed,

It should be noted as a preventative measure the Castaic Command utilizes the departmental email to remind officers on the proper protocol for all submission of grant overtime documents. Additionally the Castaic Command posts examples for officers to reference proper documentation.

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM EXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command: Castaic E. F.	Division: Southern	Chapter:	
Inspected by: Sergeant A. Hernandez, #9862		Date: 01/05/10	

Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

None

Employee would like to discuss this report with the reviewer.  (See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	1-19-2010
Reviewer discussed this report with	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE 01-05-10
employee Concur Do not concur	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE	DATE

### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

Command: Castaic E. F.	DIVISION.		
Evaluated by: Sergeant A. H	ernandez	Date: 01/05/10	
Assisted by: Kelli Esser, #A11224		Date: 01/05/10	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.						
TYPE OF INSPECTION	Lead Insp	pector's Signa	ature:			
☐ Division Level ☐ Command Level		0	1			
Z command Ecver		0-	1/	-		
Executive Office Level Voluntary Self-Inspection Follow-up Required:			Ve	-5		
Follow-up Inspection	Command	der's Signatu	re:	Date:		
☐ Yes ☐ No	1,206	est I	the	A10 1-19-2010		
For applicable policies, refer to HPM 11.1, Chapter 6, HPM 40.71, Chapters 2, 8, and 10, HPM 10.5,			,	5		
Chapter 2, and HPM 10.3, Chapters 24 and 28.						
Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section	shall be u	tilized for e	explanatio	n.		
overtime being held responsible for paying a	⊠ Yes	□No		Remarks:		
minimum of four hours of overtime per CHP	☐ [Z] 163		│ □ N/A	. Tomano.		
uniformed employee, regardless of length of service/detail?						
2. Is a minimum of four hours overtime being allocated						
to each CHP uniformed employee(s) if cancellation notification is made 24 hours or less prior to the		☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
scheduled detail and the assigned CHP uniformed						
employee(s) cannot be notified of such cancellation?  3. Are reimbursable special project codes being used						
for all overtime associated with reimbursable special	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
projects?			L IV/A			
overtime hours are not reflected on the Report of	⊠ Yes	☐ No		Remarks:		
Overtime Hours for Reimbursable Special Projects?	Z 163		│ □ N/A			
overtime is not being claimed for an employee other	⊠ Yes			Remarks:		
than Bargaining Unit 7, while on vacation or	□ les	☐ No	□ N/A	romano.		
compensated time off for hours worked during their regular work shift time?						
6. Is "RDO" being written in the "Notes" section of the						
CHP 415, Daly Field Record, for overtime worked on a regular day off?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: A supplemental 415 is produced for overtime details.		
7. Is there a CHP 90, Report of Court Appearance -				production overtaine details.		
Civil Action, completed for each officer or sergeant when overtime is associated for civil court?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:		
mion overtime to associated for Civil Court?						

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Overtime

1	8. Do the CHP 415s with overtime indicate the			<del></del>	
-	employee's lunch period or indicate "None" if the employee worked through their lunch break?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	9. Did the supervisor sign the CHP 415s approving the overtime?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	10. Are claimed overtime meals related to overtime worked within 50 miles of the employee's headquarters?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	11. If overtime is incurred by a peer support counselor, is the name of the employee to whom support was provided excluded from the CHP 415 of the counselor?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No incidents in the past 4 years
	12. Is the "Notes" section on side two of the CHP 415 used to explain any overtime listed on side one of the CHP 415?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
<b>-</b> ,	13. Are employee's Compensated Time Off hours maintained within reasonable balances?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	14. Is the commander ensuring employees are not incurring overtime due to working over the allotted number of hours for any given Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) period?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	15. Is the commander ensuring uniformed employees are not working voluntary overtime which results in them working more than 16.5 hours in a 24 hour period?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	16. Do the CHP 415 total overtime hours agree with the Monthly Attendance Report (MAR)?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
•	17. Are the MARs retained for at least three years and contain the commander's signature?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:

#### COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

Command: Division:		Number:	
Castaic E. F.	Castaic E. F. Southern		
Evaluated by:	Date:		
Sergeant A. He	01/05/2010		
Assisted by:	Date:		
Kelli Esser, #A11224		01/05/2010	

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy, applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected.							
TYPE OF INSPECTION			Lead Insp	oector's Signa	ture:		
☐ Division Level		□ Command Level			, /		
☐ Executive Office	Level	☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection	lih	5) +	le		6
Follow-up Re				der's Signatur	e:		Date:
☐ Yes	⊠ No	Follow-up Inspection	Rol	و لم لم	La F	IC	1-19-2010
For applicable pol							
Note: If a "No" or "N	I/A" box is che	ecked, the "Remarks" section	shall be u	itilized for e	xplanation	1.	
agency or organization is proposing or has submitted a grant application to a funding agency other than the Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) that appears to focus on traffic safety goals clearly within the jurisdiction of the Department, did the commander notify the appropriate assistant commissioner?						No such incidents rred.	
engineering studies, system development or program  implementations?						not be app	This section would licable to a al Enforcement
the expense identified by Administration	The command sought grant funding to assist with the expenses associated with the priority programs identified by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration?						
being realloc non-reimburs	ated to fund on a sable overtime	red grant funds are not other programs or used for expenditures?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:	
submitted the Unit (GMU)?	ough channe	ding grant funding lls to Grants Management	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	not be app	This section would licable to a la Enforcement
6. Was GMU co personnel bil preparing co	ling rates use	etermine the current d for grant projects when audgets?	☐ Yes	⊠ No	□ N/A	Remarks: not be app	This section would licable to a Enforcement

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

7. Is supporting documentation of consent and		·	<u> </u>	
acceptance (of the work, goods, or services provided by the state on behalf of a local government agency as required by 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1250) being submitted to OTS for all grant projects coded as "for local benefit"?		□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
8. Were all copies of the grant project agreements, revisions, and claim invoices signed by the Project Director, or designated alternate?  9. Were all inquiries or correspondence concorning the	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
availability of grant funds or other contacts with grant funding agencies coordinated/processed through GMU?	∑ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
<ul> <li>10. Are all expenditures of grant funds approved by GMU prior to entering into any obligations, with the exception of personnel costs?</li> <li>11. Are quarterly progress reports forwarded though</li> </ul>	∫ ∏ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
channels to GMU in accordance with the instructions contained in the associated project MOU?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
12. Are all requirements of the grant agreement and MOU being met?		□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13. Is a final project report being prepared in accordance with the funding agency and departmental requirements upon the termination of the grant project?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
14. Does every invoice associated with a grant funded project contain the project number and name?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
15. Are all purchases of grant-funded equipment acquired under an OTS grant exceeding a unit cost of \$5,000 being documented on an Equipment Report, Form OTS-25?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: No Purchases of this type have been performed by this command.
16. Has grant funded equipment been inspected to ensure it is being utilized in accordance with the respective grant agreement?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This command is not in possession of any grant funded equipment
<ul> <li>17. Are applications for federal funds in accordance with Government Code Section 13326 including obtaining approval from the Department of Finance and/or the Governor's office prior to submission to the appropriate federal authority?         This would include any of the following:         <ul> <li>Applications for federal funds which are not included in the budget approved by the Governor.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
<ul> <li>Applications for federal funds which exceed the amount specified in the budget.</li> </ul>				

# COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

1 1	R is a tederal Standard Form 404 Application 6				
	8. Is a federal Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance, filed with the State Clearinghouse for all approved unbudgeted grant requests received by the Department of Finance?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
	D. Has any request for unanticipated federal funds met the criteria for legislative notification set forth in Control Section 28.00 of the annual Budget Act?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
	). Are grant funds being used for their intended purpose?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are grant applications related to the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) being routed through the Commercial Vehicle Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
22	Are grant applications related to the Homeland Security Grant Program being routed through the Emergency Operations Section before they are	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: This section would not be applicable to a Commercial Enforcement Facility
	submitted to the funding agency?		į		Zimoroomone i donky
Quest	ions 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemer	l It Unit			
23	ions 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemer  . Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?	t Unit	No □	⊠ N/A	Remarks:
23	ions 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemer  Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?  Did GMU send the concept paper as an attachment to a memorandum through the Planning and Analysis Division to Assistant Commissioner, Field, and Assistant Commissioner, Staff, and their Executive Assistants?		□ No	⊠ N/A	
24	ions 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemer  Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?  Did GMU send the concept paper as an attachment to a memorandum through the Planning and Analysis Division to Assistant Commissioner, Field, and Assistant Commissioner, Staff, and their Executive	☐ Yes			Remarks: